

**United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois**

<b>Name of Assigned Judge or Magistrate Judge</b>	Ronald A. Guzman	<b>Sitting Judge if Other than Assigned Judge</b>	Nan R. Nolan
<b>CASE NUMBER</b>	02 C 5893	<b>DATE</b>	08/22/06
<b>CASE TITLE</b>	Jaffe vs. Household Int Inc, et al		

**DOCKET ENTRY TEXT**

Motion hearing held. The Class' Motion for Clarification of the Court's August 10, 2006 Order [Doc. 640] is granted in part and denied in part as stated in open court. Defendants are ordered to comply with those aspects of the Order identified in paragraph II of Plaintiffs' motion by September 5, 2006, except for those issues carved out by the parties, which will be due on a mutually agreeable date. The parties are not to return to the court with further disputes in this regard. Status hearing set for 09/13/06 is stricken and reset to 09/19/06 at 9:00 a.m.

■ [ For further details see text below.]

Notices mailed by Judicial staff.

00:15

**STATEMENT**

The court further orders the parties to split the estimated \$26,600 cost of compiling computer programs to extract data responsive to Plaintiffs' Interrogatory Nos. 40-42. The court notes that it has also reviewed *in camera* Plaintiffs' proposal in support of their need to take up to seven additional hours of deposition time for certain witnesses identified in the August 10, 2006 Order. The court finds the proposed topics, and the expected length of those topics to be reasonable, and cautions Defendants to exercise extreme caution in failing to agree to the additional time.

Finally, the parties are ordered to cooperate to determine those documents deemed privileged by the 27 state regulatory agencies, as addressed in the Class' Motion Regarding State Agency Documents [Doc. 636]. Plaintiffs are to provide a concise, written status report to this court by September 12, 2006 setting forth the documents at issue; the states at issue; the individuals contacted; the responses received; and the scope of any remaining disputes. Upon further reflection, the court deems it premature for the parties to produce to the court copies of the relevant 65 documents (comprising some 7,000 pages) and will revisit the issue as necessary when the parties return for a status on September 19, 2006. The parties are to comply with any further instructions regarding this and any other matter as stated in open court.