

United States District Court Northern District of Illinois
APPLICATION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAR PRO HAC VICE

RECEIVED
 APR 26 2006
 APR 26 2006
 Plaintiff(s)
MICHAEL W. DOBBINS
 CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

Case Title:	Lawrence E. Jaffe, et al.	VS.	
	Household International, Inc., et al.		Defendant(s)
Case Number:	Case No.: 1:02-CV05893	Judge:	Hon. Ronald A. Guzman

I, JASON A. OTTO hereby apply to the Court under Local Rule 83.14 for permission to appear and participate in the above-entitled action on behalf of Defendants Household International Inc., et al. by whom I have been retained.

I am a member in good standing and eligible to practice before the following courts:

Title of Court	Date Admitted
State of New York	Jan. 31, 2000
United States District Court, Southern District of New York	Feb. 15, 2000
United States District Court, Eastern District of New York	Oct. 26, 2005

I have currently, or within the year preceding the date of this application, made pro hac vice applications to this Court in the following actions: **None**

Case Number	Case Title	Date of Application (Granted or Denied)*

*If denied, please explain:
 (Attach additional form if necessary)

Pursuant to Local Rule 83.15(a), applicants who do not have an office within the Northern District of Illinois must designate, at the time of filing their initial notice or pleading, a member of the bar of this Court having an office within this District upon who service of papers may be made.

Has the applicant designated local counsel? Yes No

If you have not designated local counsel, Local Rule 83.15(b) provides that the designation must be made within thirty (30) days.

Has the applicant ever been:

censured, suspended, disbarred, or otherwise disciplined by any court? Yes No

or is the applicant currently the subject of an investigation of the applicant's professional conduct? Yes No

transferred to inactive status, voluntarily withdrawn, or resigned from the bar of any court? Yes No

denied admission to the bar of any court? Yes No


held in contempt of court? Yes No

NOTE: If the answer to any of the above questions is yes, please attach a brief description of the incident(s) and the applicant's current status before any court, or any agency thereof, where disciplinary sanctions were imposed, or where an investigation or investigations of the applicant's conduct may have been instituted.

I have read the Rules of Professional Conduct for the Northern District of Illinois, effective November 12, 1991 (Local Rules 83.50 through 83.58), and the Standards for Professional Conduct within the Seventh Federal Judicial Circuit, effective December 15, 1992, and will faithfully adhere to them. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

4/21/06

Date



Signature of Applicant

Applicant's Name	Last Name		First Name		Middle Name/Initial
	Otto		Jason		A.
Applicant's Law Firm	Cahill Gordon & Reindel LLP				
Applicant's Address	Street Address (include suite or room number)				State Bar Number
	80 Pine Street				
	City	State	ZIP Code	Work Phone Number	
	New York	NY	10005	212-701-3474	

(The pro hac vice admission fee is \$100.00 for cases filed before February 1, 2001, and \$50.00 for cases filed on or after that date, and shall be paid to the Clerk. No admission under Rule 83.14 is effective until such time as the fee has been paid.)

(Fee Stamp)

NOTE: Attorneys seeking to appear pro hac vice may wish to consider filing a petition for admission to the general bar of this Court. The fee for admission to the General Bar is \$150.00. The fee for pro hac vice admission is \$100.00 for cases filed before February 1, 2001, and \$50.00 for cases filed on or after that date. Admission to the general bar permits an attorney to practice before this Court. Pro hac vice admission entitles an attorney to appear in a particular case only. Application for such admission must be made in each case; and the admission fee must be paid in each case.




ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that the applicant herein may appear in the above-entitled case.

DATED:

4/27/06


United States District Judge